



**$R$ -matrices for  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  for highest weight  
representations of  $U_qosp(1, 2)$  for general  $q$   
and  $q$  is an odd root of unity \***

T.S. Hakobyan <sup>†</sup>  
*Yerevan Physics Institute, Br. Alikhanian  
st.2, Yerevan 36, Armenia*

*and*

A.G. Sedrakyan  
*Yerevan Physics Institute, Br. Alikhanian  
st.2, Yerevan 36, Armenia*

**Abstract**

We obtain the formula for intertwining operator ( $R$ -matrix) of quantum universal enveloping superalgebra  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  for  $U_qosp(1, 2)$ -Verma modules. By its restriction we obtain the  $R$ -matrix for two semiperiodic (semicyclic), two spin- $j$  and spin- $j$  and semiperiodic representations.

February 1993

---

\*This work was supported in part by the Bundesministerium fuer Forschung und Technologie

<sup>†</sup>e-mail erphi@adonis.ias.msk.su

The intertwining operators of quantum universal enveloping algebras [1, 2, 3] lead to integrable 2D statistical systems. For  $q$  (parameter of quantum group) isn't a root of unity they all are unified in the Universal  $R$ -matrix for a given quantum group [1, 4, 5, 6]. For  $q$  is a root of unity its formal expression fails because of singularities, arising in this case. But intertwiners for cyclic representations [7, 8] exists in this case also [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] with a spectral parameter, lying on some algebraic curve, and correspond to Chiral Potts model [15, 16]. In [17] using the method of [13] had constructed the general formula for  $R$ -matrix of  $U_q \widehat{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$  for highest weight representations of  $U_q sl(2, \mathbf{C})$  both for general  $q$  and  $q$  is a root of unity.

In this work we obtain its analogue for quantum superalgebra  $U_q \widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  in a slightly different way. First we obtain the intertwiner for the tensor product of two Verma modules and then restrict it to other highest weight representations of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$ . The graded Yang-Baxter equations are considered also.

Quantum superalgebra  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  is generated by odd elements  $e, f$  and even element  $k$  with (anti) commutation relations [18]:

$$\begin{aligned} kek^{-1} &= q^{\frac{1}{2}}e & k^{\pm 1}k^{\mp 1} &= 1 \\ kfk^{-1} &= q^{-\frac{1}{2}}f \\ [e, f]_+ &= \frac{k^2 - k^{-2}}{q - q^{-1}} := \{k^2\}_q, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $q$  is a deformation parameter.

In  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  exists a Hopf algebra structure:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e) &= k \otimes e + e \otimes k^{-1} & \Delta(k^{\pm 1}) &= k^{\pm 1} \otimes k^{\pm 1} \\ \Delta(f) &= k \otimes f + f \otimes k^{-1} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Recall that the multiplication in tensor product is defined by the rule:

$$(a \otimes b)(c \otimes d) = (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}ac \otimes bd,$$

where  $p(a)$  is a parity of  $a$ .

From (1) and (2) it follows:

$$\Delta(f)^n = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i}_{-q} (k \otimes f)^i (f \otimes k^{-1})^{n-i}, \tag{3}$$

where we use the notations

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{m}_x &:= \frac{(m)_x!}{(n)_x!(m-n)_x!}, \\ (n)_x! &:= (1)_x(2)_x \dots (n)_x, & (n)_x &:= \frac{x^n - 1}{x - 1} \end{aligned}$$

We put  $k = q^h$ , where  $[h, e] = \frac{1}{2}e$ ,  $[h, f] = -\frac{1}{2}f$ . From (1) it follows ([18]):

$$\begin{aligned} [e, f^n] &= (-f)^{n-1} \frac{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]_+ \left[2h - \frac{n-1}{2}\right]_+}{[1]_+} \\ [f, e^n] &= (-e)^{n-1} \frac{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]_+ \left[2h + \frac{n-1}{2}\right]_+}{[1]_+}, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where we used the notation of [18]:

$$[x]_+ := \frac{q^x - (-1)^{2x} q^{-x}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (-1)^{2h} = 1$$

and we denote  $[a, b] := ab - (-1)^{p(a)p(b)}ba$  here and in the following.

The center of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  is generated by  $q$ -deformed Casimir element [18]

$$c = -(q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2 f^2 e^2 + (qk^2 + q^{-1}k^{-2})fe + \{q^{\frac{1}{2}}k^2\}_q^2 \quad (5)$$

For  $q$  not a root of unity the finite-dimentional irreducible representations  $\pi_j$  are in one-to-one correspondence with the  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  ones. They are characterized by nonnegative integer  $j$  and called spin- $\frac{j}{2}$  representations. The action of the  $e, f, h$  in  $\pi_j$  is given by [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_j(h)v_m &= q^{\frac{1}{2}(j-m)}v_m & \pi_j(f)v_m &= (-1)^m v_{m+1} & \pi_j(f)v_{2j} &= 0 \\ \pi_j(e)v_m &= \frac{[\frac{m}{2}]_+ [j + \frac{m-1}{2}]_+}{[1]_+} v_{m-1} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Consider now the case of  $q$  is an odd root of unity. Let  $N$  is a minimnal odd integer, satisfying the condition  $q^N = 1$ . It follows from (1) and (4) that then  $e^{2N}, f^{2N}, k^{2N}$  belong to the center of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$ . In the irreducible representation the values of these central elements are multiples of identity operator. Here we rewrite the action of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  on cyclic (periodic) representation, which is characterized by 3 complex numbers  $\lambda = q^{\frac{1}{2}\mu}, \alpha, \beta$  [19], in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(f)v_m &= v_{m+1} & \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(f)v_{2N-1} &= \alpha v_0 \\ \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(e)v_m &= \left[ (-1)^{m-1} \frac{[\frac{m}{2}]_+ [\frac{2\mu-m+1}{2}]_+}{[1]_+} + (-1)^m \alpha \beta \right] v_{m-1} \\ & (m = 1 \dots 2N-1), \\ \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(e)v_0 &= \beta v_{2N-1} & \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(k)v_m &= q^{\frac{\mu-m}{2}} v_m \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

The central elements  $e^{2N}, f^{2N}, k^{2N}$  take the values

$$\begin{aligned} a := \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(e^{2N}) &= \beta \prod_{i=1}^{2N-1} (-1)^{i-1} \left[ \frac{[\frac{i}{2}]_+ [\frac{2\mu-i+1}{2}]_+}{[1]_+} - \alpha \beta \right] \\ b := \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(f^{2N}) &= \alpha, & \pi_{\lambda, \alpha, \beta}(k^{2N}) &= q^\mu \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

If  $a$  or  $b$  ( $\alpha$  or  $\beta$ ) vanishes then the cyclic representation converts into semiperiodic one, which is irreducible for generic values of parameters also.

The cyclic and semiperiodic representations have no analogue for the classical  $osp(1, 2)$ .

Now we will consider the affinization  $U_q \widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$ . It is generated by elements  $e_i, f_i, k_i$ , ( $i = 0, 1$ ), which satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} k_i^{\pm 1} k_j^{\pm 1} &= k_j^{\pm 1} k_i^{\pm 1}, & k_i^{\pm 1} k_j^{\mp 1} &= k_j^{\mp 1} k_i^{\pm 1} \quad (i, j = 0, 1) \\ k_i e_j k_i^{-1} &= q^{\frac{a_{ij}}{2}} e_j & k_i f_j k_i^{-1} &= q^{-\frac{a_{ij}}{2}} f_j \\ [e_i, f_j]_+ &= \delta_{ij} \{k_i^2\}_q, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $a_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is Cartan matrix of  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$ , and Serre relations. Here  $p(e_i) = p(f_i) = 1$ ,  $p(k_i) = 0$ .

On  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  there is a Hopf algebra structure:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(e_i) &= e_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + k_i \otimes e_i & \Delta(k_i^{\pm 1}) &= k_i^{\pm 1} \otimes k_i^{\pm 1} \\ \Delta(f_i) &= f_i \otimes k_i^{-1} + k_i \otimes f_i\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

For any complex  $x$  there is the homomorphism  $\rho_x: U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2) \rightarrow U_qosp(1, 2)$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_x(e_0) &= (-1)^p x f & \rho_x(f_0) &= (-1)^p x^{-1} e & \rho_x(k_0^{\pm 1}) &= k^{\mp 1} \\ \rho_x(e_1) &= e & \rho_x(f_1) &= f & \rho_x(k_1^{\pm 1}) &= k^{\pm 1}\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

This homomorphism converts any representation of  $U_qosp(1, 2)$  to parameterized representation of the affine quantum algebra  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$ . Using  $\rho_x$  we can construct the parametrised representation of  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  from the representation of  $U_qosp(1, 2)$ .

The Hopf algebra structure allows to consider the action of  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  on tensor products of representations. Let  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are representations of  $U_qosp(1, 2)$  on  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  respectively. Then, as it was mentioned above,  $\pi_i(x_i) := \pi_i \circ \rho_{x_i}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$  are the representations of  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$ . Let us suppose the equivalence of  $\pi_1(x_1) \otimes \pi_2(x_2)$  and  $\pi_2(x_2) \otimes \pi_1(x_1)$  as an  $U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$ -modules. This means the existence of some intertwining operator  $\hat{R}_{\pi_1\pi_2}(x_1, x_2)$  from  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  into  $V_2 \otimes V_1$  such that [2]

$$\hat{R}_{\pi_1\pi_2}(x_1, x_2) \pi_1(x_1) \otimes \pi_2(x_2) (\Delta(g)) = \pi_2(x_2) \otimes \pi_1(x_1) (\Delta(g)) \hat{R}_{\pi_1\pi_2}(x_1, x_2),\tag{12}$$

where  $g \in U_q\widehat{osp}(1, 2)$ . We put  $x_2 = 1, x_1 = x$  because  $\hat{R}$  depends on  $\frac{x_2}{x_1}$  only. Then the equations (12) for  $g = f_1, e_0$  can be represented in the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(x)(f \otimes k^{-1} + k \otimes f) &= (f \otimes k^{-1} + k \otimes f) \hat{R}(x) \\ \hat{R}(x)(x(-1)^p f \otimes k + k^{-1} \otimes (-1)^p f) &= ((-1)^p f \otimes k + x k^{-1} \otimes (-1)^p f) \hat{R}(x)\end{aligned}\tag{13}$$

From (13) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{R}(x)(1 \otimes f) &= [\Delta(f_1) \hat{R}(x) x((-1)^{p+1} \otimes k^2) - \Delta(e_0) \hat{R}(x)] \\ &\quad \times (x(-1)^{p+1} k \otimes k^2 - k^{-1} \otimes (-1)^{p+1})^{p+1} \\ \hat{R}(x)(f \otimes 1) &= [\Delta(f_1) \hat{R}(x) (k^{-2} \otimes (-1)^{p-1}) - \Delta(e_0) \hat{R}(x)] \\ &\quad \times (k^{-2} \otimes (-1)^{p+1} k^{-1} - x(-1)^{p+1} \otimes k)^{-1},\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

where for simplicity we use the notations:

$$\Delta(f_i) := \pi_2(1) \otimes \pi_1(x) (\Delta(f_i)) \quad \Delta(e_i) := \pi_2(1) \otimes \pi_1(x) (\Delta(e_i)).$$

If  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are the highest weight  $U_qosp(1, 2)$ -representations with highest vectors  $v_0^{(1)}$  and  $v_0^{(2)}$  respectively and  $V_1 \otimes V_2$  decomposes into direct sum of pairwise nonequivalent irreducible representations, then we can normalize  $\hat{R}(x)$  such that  $\hat{R}(x)(v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)}) = v_0^{(2)} \otimes v_0^{(1)}$ . In this

case we can use (14) to obtain the recursive formula for  $\hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1}v_0^{(1)} \otimes f^{r_2}v_0^{(2)})$ . In fact, we obtain from (14):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2})v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} &= \\ &= (-1)^{r_1} \left[ x\lambda_{(2)}^2 (-1)^{p(v_0^{(1)})+r_1+1} q^{-r_2+1} \Delta(f_1) - \Delta(e_0) \right] \hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2-1}) \times \\ &\times (q^{-r_2-\frac{r_1}{2}+1} x (-1)^{p+1+r_1} k \otimes k^2 - q^{\frac{r_1}{2}} (-1)^{r_2} k^{-1} \otimes (-1)^p)^{-1} v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2})v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} &= \\ &= \left[ \lambda_{(1)}^{-2} (-1)^{p(v_0^{(2)})+r_2+1} q^{r_1-1} \Delta(f_1) - \Delta(e_0) \right] \hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1-1} \otimes f^{r_2}) \times \\ &\times ((-1)^{r_2} q^{r_1+\frac{r_2}{2}-1} k^{-2} \otimes (-1)^{p+1} k^{-1} - q^{-\frac{r_2}{2}} x (-1)^p \otimes k)^{-1} v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\lambda_{(i)} (i = 1, 2)$  are the values of  $k$  on highest vectors  $v_0^{(i)}$ . Using this we obtain by induction from (15):

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(x)(f^{r_1} \otimes f^{r_2})v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} &= \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{r_1 r_2}}{\prod_{i=0}^{r_1+r_2-1} \left( q^{\frac{i}{2}} (\lambda_{(1)} \lambda_{(2)})^{-1} (-1)^{p_1+p_2+i} - x q^{-\frac{i}{2}} \lambda_{(1)} \lambda_{(2)} \right)} \times \\ &\times \prod_{i_2=0}^{r_2-1} \left[ x \lambda_{(2)} q^{-\frac{i_2}{2}} (-1)^{p_1+i_1+1} \Delta(f_1) - \lambda_{(2)}^{-1} q^{\frac{i_2}{2}} \Delta(e_0) \right] \times \\ &\times \prod_{i_1=0}^{r_1-1} \left[ \lambda_{(1)}^{-1} q^{\frac{i_1}{2}} (-1)^{p_2} \Delta(f_1) - \lambda_{(1)} q^{-\frac{i_1}{2}} \Delta(e_0) \right] (v_0^{(2)} \otimes v_0^{(1)}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Let now  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are Verma representations of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  on  $M_{\lambda_1}$  and  $M_{\lambda_2}$  respectively. Then the elements  $\Delta(e_0)^n \Delta(f_1)^m v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)}$  for all nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$  form the basis of  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ . From this and construction procedure for  $\hat{R}(x)$  above follows the commutativity between  $\hat{R}(x)$  and  $\Delta(e_0)$ ,  $\Delta(f_1)$  on  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ . It's evident that  $\hat{R}(x)$  commutes with  $\Delta(k_i)$ , ( $i = 0, 1$ ) also. We shall prove the commutativity between  $\hat{R}(x)$  and  $\Delta(e_1)$ ,  $\Delta(f_0)$ .

For general  $q$  ( $q$  isn't a root of unity) and  $\lambda$  ( $\lambda \neq q^{\frac{1}{2}j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots$ )  $M_\lambda$  is irreducible. For these values of  $q$  and  $\lambda_i$  the tensor product of Verma modules decomposes by the rule:

$$M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} M_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 q^{\frac{1}{2}n}} \quad (17)$$

The highest vector  $w_0^n$  of  $M_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 q^{\frac{1}{2}n}}$  has the form:

$$w_0^n = \sum_{j=0}^n c_j^i(\lambda_i, q) f^j v_0^{(1)} \otimes f^{n-j} v_0^{(2)}, \quad (18)$$

where the coefficients  $c_j^i(\lambda_i, q)$  are some rational functions on  $\lambda_i$ . (We can normalize  $w_0^n$  in such a way that they would become polynomials on  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_i^{-1}$ ). We assert that

$$\Delta(e_1) \hat{R}(x) w_0^n = 0 \quad (19)$$

Indeed, consider this equation for  $\lambda_i = q^{\frac{1}{2}l_i}$  for values of  $l_i$ , which are large enough ( $\min(2l_1 + 1, 2l_2 + 1) \geq n$ ). For this values of  $\lambda_i$  and general  $q$  and also for spin- $\frac{l_i}{2}$  irreps (6)  $\hat{R}(x)$  exists

and unique, as it had been shown in [18]. So  $\hat{R}(x)$  must map the null vector of  $V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2}$  to the null vector of  $V_{\lambda_2} \otimes V_{\lambda_1}$ . Since  $l_i$  are large enough, the "border effects" of finite dimensionality of  $\text{spin-}\frac{l_i}{2}$  irreps don't play the role in (19). So, (19) is valid for infinite values of  $\lambda_i$ . Using expression (16) for  $R$ -matrix and (18) we conclude that left hand side of (19) can be represented in the following form:

$$\Delta(e_1)\hat{R}(x)w_0^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j^i(\lambda_i, q) f^j v_0^{(1)} \otimes f^{n-j} v_0^{(2)}, \quad (20)$$

where the coefficients  $b_j^n(\lambda_i, q)$  as  $c_j^n(\lambda_i, q)$  above are some rational functions on  $\lambda_i$ , that we can make a polynomials on  $\lambda_i$  and  $\lambda_i^{-1}$  by choosing an appropriate normalization of  $w_0^n$ . As they vanish for infinite many values of  $\lambda_i$ , they vanish trivially. So, equation (19) is valid.

Consider now the vectors  $w_m^n, w_m^n = \Delta(f_1)^m w_0^n$  for any nonnegative integers  $n$  and  $m$ . They form a basis of  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$  also. Note that  $\hat{R}(x)$  commutes with  $\Delta(e_1)$ . Indeed, using (19) and (4) and the commutativity between  $\hat{R}(x)$  and  $\Delta(f_1), \Delta(k_1) = \Delta(k)$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_1)\hat{R}(x)w_m^n &= \Delta(e_1)\hat{R}(x)\Delta(f_1)^m w_0^n = \Delta(e_1)\Delta(f_1)^m \hat{R}(x)w_0^n = \\ &= \Delta(f_1)^{m-1} \kappa(\Delta(k)) \hat{R}(x)w_0^n + (-1)^m \Delta(f_1)^m \Delta(e_1) \hat{R}(x)w_0^n = \\ &= \Delta(f_1)^{m-1} \hat{R}(x) \kappa(\Delta(k)) w_0^n = \hat{R}(x) \Delta(f_1)^{m-1} \kappa(\Delta(k)) w_0^n = \\ &= \hat{R}(x) \Delta(e_1) \Delta(f_1)^m w_0^n = \hat{R}(x) \Delta(e_1) w_m^n \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where for simplisity we use the notation:

$$\kappa_n(k) = \kappa_n(q^h) := (-1)^{n-1} \frac{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]_+ \left[2h - \frac{n-1}{2}\right]_+}{[1]_+}$$

So,  $[D(e_1), \hat{R}(x)] = 0$ , because  $w_m^n$  form the basis of  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ .

The same result may be obtained for  $\Delta(f_0)$ . To derive it we have to consider the  $U_q \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$ -decomposition of  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$  with respect to  $U_q \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$ , generated by  $\Delta(e_0), \Delta(f_0), \Delta(k_0)$ . (Note that in this case we deal with lowest weight Verma modules). The basis vectors  $w_m^n$  must be replaced then by the basis vectors  $\tilde{w}_m^n = \Delta(f_0)^m \tilde{w}_0^n$ , where  $\Delta(f_0) \tilde{w}_0^n = 0$ , and

$$\Delta(k_0) \tilde{w}_0^n = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2)^{-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}n} \tilde{w}_0^n.$$

The equation like (21) may be written in this case also.

So, we proved that  $\hat{R}(x)$  is a  $U_q \widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}(1, 2)$ -intertwining operator:

$$\hat{R}(x) : M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2} \rightarrow M_{\lambda_2} \otimes M_{\lambda_1}.$$

The graded Yang-Baxter equations on  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2} \otimes M_{\lambda_3}$

$$(id \otimes \hat{R}(x))(\hat{R}(xy) \otimes id)(id \otimes \hat{R}(y)) = (\hat{R}(y) \otimes id)(id \otimes \hat{R}(xy))(\hat{R}(x) \otimes id) \quad (22)$$

follows from the  $U_q \widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}(1, 2)$ -irreducibility of tensor product, because both the left and right sides of (22) are  $U_q \widehat{\mathfrak{osp}}(1, 2)$ -intertwiners:  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2} \otimes M_{\lambda_3} \rightarrow M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2} \otimes M_{\lambda_3}$  and both they map the vector  $v_0^{(1)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} \otimes v_0^{(3)}$  to the vector  $v_0^{(3)} \otimes v_0^{(2)} \otimes v_0^{(1)}$ .

The above constucted  $R$ -matrix (16), intertwining Verma modules, have the universality property in the following sence. Every highest weight  $U_q \mathfrak{osp}(1, 2)$ -module  $V_\lambda$  can be obtained

by factorizing of  $M_\lambda$  on some submodule  $I_\lambda$ :  $V_\lambda = M_\lambda/I_\lambda$ . Consider the restriction of equation (12) on

$$V_{\lambda_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2} = M_{\lambda_1}/I_{\lambda_1}^1 \otimes M_{\lambda_2}/I_{\lambda_2}^2. \quad (23)$$

If (12) preserves the factorization, then  $\hat{R}(x)$  is well defined on (23). In a such way  $\hat{R}_{V_1 \otimes V_2}(x)$  can be constructed from  $\hat{R}_{M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}}$  by the restriction.

For instance, let us obtain an  $R$ -matrix for semiperiodic representation  $\pi_{\lambda,\alpha} := \pi_{\lambda,\alpha,0}$  for  $q^N = 1$ , where  $N$  is a minimal odd integer, satisfying this condition. It characterized by two complex numbers  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  (See equations (7) for  $\beta = 0$ ). It is obtained from  $M_\lambda$  by the factorization on the submodule, generated by the vector  $(f^{2N} - \alpha)v_0$ :  $V_{\lambda\alpha} = M_\lambda/I_\lambda^\alpha$ . From (3) follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f_1)^{2N} &= k^{2N} \otimes f^{2N} + f^{2N} \otimes k^{-2N} \\ \Delta(f_0)^{2N} &= -k^{-2N} \otimes e^{2N} - x e^{2N} \otimes k^{2N} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The necessary condition for constincensy of (13) with the factorization procedure is the coincidence of central elements  $\Delta(e_0)^{2N}$  and  $\Delta(f_1)^{2N}$  in  $V_{\lambda_1\alpha_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2\alpha_2}$  and  $V_{\lambda_2\alpha_2} \otimes V_{\lambda_1\alpha_1}$ . Thus, from (24) follows

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_1^{2N} - \lambda_1^{-2N}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\lambda_2^{2N} - \lambda_2^{-2N}}, \quad x^{2N} = 1 \quad (25)$$

But (25) is a suffitient condition also. Indeed, from (24),(13),(25) follows

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{R}(x) \left[ \lambda_1^{2N} (1 \otimes (f^{2N} - \alpha_2)) + \lambda_2^{-2N} ((f^{2N} - \alpha_1) \otimes 1) \right] &= \\ = \left[ \lambda_2^{2N} (1 \otimes (f^{2N} - \alpha_1)) + \lambda_1^{-2N} ((f^{2N} - \alpha_2) \otimes 1) \right] \hat{R}(x) & \\ \hat{R}(x) \left[ \lambda_1^{-2N} (1 \otimes (f^{2N} - \alpha_2)) + x \lambda_2^{2N} ((f^{2N} - \alpha_1) \otimes 1) \right] \hat{R}(x) &= \\ = \left[ x \lambda_2^{-2N} (1 \otimes (f^{2N} - \alpha_1)) + \lambda_1^{2N} ((f^{2N} - \alpha_2) \otimes 1) \right] \hat{R}(x) & \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

on  $M_{\lambda_1} \otimes M_{\lambda_2}$ . So,  $\hat{R}(x)(1 \otimes (f^{2N} - \alpha_2))$  or  $\hat{R}(x)((f^{2N} - \alpha_1) \otimes 1)$  can be represented as a linear combination of  $(1 \otimes (f^{2N} - \alpha_1))\hat{R}(x)$  and  $((f^{2N} - \alpha_2) \otimes 1)\hat{R}(x)$ . As  $f^{2N}$  is a central element of  $U_q \widehat{osp}(1, 2)$  in  $M_\lambda$ , we finished the proof.

Note that (25) for  $x = 1$  coincides with the like one for  $U_q sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ , derived in [12, 13].

Obviously, the graded Yang-Baxter equations are satisfied on  $V_{\lambda_1,\alpha_1} \otimes V_{\lambda_2,\alpha_2} \otimes V_{\lambda_3,\alpha_3}$  for the spectral parameter, lying on the algebraic curve

$$\frac{\alpha_1}{\lambda_1^{2N} - \lambda_1^{-2N}} = \frac{\alpha_2}{\lambda_2^{2N} - \lambda_2^{-2N}} = \frac{\alpha_3}{\lambda_3^{2N} - \lambda_3^{-2N}}, \quad x^{2N} = 1, \quad y^{2N} = 1$$

The spectral parameter of  $R$ -matrix lies on the same algebraic curve as in the case of  $U_q sl(2, \mathbf{C})$  [12, 13].

In the case of  $q^N = 1$  we can consider also the  $R$ -matrix (16) for the mixed tensor products  $V_{\lambda,\alpha} \otimes V_j$  and  $V_j \otimes V_{\lambda,\alpha}$  for  $2j+1 < 2N$ . Intertwiners of such type for  $U_q sl(2, \mathbf{C})$  and  $U_q sl(n, \mathbf{C})$  had been considered in [20, 21, 22]. It can be proven as above (see [17] for more detail for the case of  $U_q sl(2, \mathbf{C})$ ) that the restriction of  $\hat{R}(x)_{M_\lambda \otimes M_{\frac{1}{2}j}}$  ( $\hat{R}(x)_{M_{\frac{1}{2}j} \otimes M_\lambda}$ ) to  $V_{\lambda,\alpha} \otimes V_j$  ( $V_j \otimes V_{\lambda,\alpha}$ ) is well defined for all values of parameters  $\lambda, \alpha, x$ .

The graded Yang-Baxter equations are valid for mixed tenzor product of 3 representations



because they are valid for corresponding Verma modules. If there are 2 semiperiodic representations  $V_{\lambda_1, \alpha_1}$  and  $V_{\lambda_2, \alpha_2}$ , then their parameters must lie on the algebraic curve (25)

Note that from any solution of graded Yang-Baxter equation the solution of ordinary (non-graded) one can be obtained [23]. The above considered  $R$ -matrices of  $U_q osp(1, 2)$  doesn't seem to give new solutions of Yang-Baxter equations as for spin- $j$  irreps [18]. We suppose that they exhibit hidden symmetries in Chiral Potts and mixed models.

## References

- [1] V.G. Drinfeld, *Quantum Groups*, ICM proceedings, p.798-820, New York: Berkeley 1986.
- [2] M. Jimbo, *Quantum R-matrix for generalized Toda system*, Comm. Math. Phys. **102** (1986) N.4.  
V.V. Bazhanov, *Trigonometric solutions of triangle equations and classical Lie algebras*, Phys. Lett. **159B** (1985) 321
- [3] M. Jimbo, *A  $q$ -analogue of  $U(gl(N+1))$ ; Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Lett. Math. Phys. **11** (1986) 247.
- [4] M. Rosso, *An analogue of P.W.W. theorem and the universal R-matrix for  $U_q sl(N)$*  Comm. Math. Phys. **124** (1989) 307
- [5] V. Tolstoy, Khoroskin, *Universal R-matrix for quantum superalgebras*, Comm. Math. Phys. **141**, (1991) 599
- [6] V. Tolstoy, Khoroskin, Funk. Analiz i Prilozh. (1992)
- [7] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa, *Cyclic representations of  $U_q \hat{sl}(n+1, \mathbf{C})$  at  $q^N = 1$* , preprint RIMS-703 (1990), Publ. RIMS
- [8] D. Arnaudon, A. Chakrabarti, *Periodic and partially periodic representations of  $SU(N)_q$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 461  
Flat periodic representations of  $U(g)$ , Comm. Math. Phys. **139** (1991) 605.
- [9] V.V. Bazhanov, Yu.J. Stroganov, *Chiral Potts model as a descendent of the six vertex model*, J. Stat. Phys. **59** (1990) 799
- [10] V.V. Bazhanov, R.M. Kashaev, V.V. Mangazeev, Yu. G. Stroganov,  *$(\mathbf{Z}_N)^{n-1}$  generalization of the chiral Potts model*, Comm. Math. Phys. **138** (1991) 393
- [11] E. Date, M. Jimbo, K. Miki, T. Miwa, *Generalized Chiral Potts models and minimal cyclic representations of  $U_q(\hat{gl}(n, \mathbf{C}))$* , Comm. Math. Phys. **137**, (1991) N.1
- [12] C. Gomez, G. Sierra, M. Ruiz-Altaba, *New R-matrixes associated with finite dimensional representations of  $U(sl(2))$  at root of unit*, Phys. Lett. **B265** (1991) 95
- [13] C. Gomez, G. Sierra, *A new solution to the star-triangle equation based on  $U_q(sl(2))$  at root of unit*, Preprint CERN (1991)

- [14] I. Ivanov, D. Uglov, *R-matrices for the semicyclic representations of  $U_q\widehat{sl}(2)$* , Phys. Lett. **A167** (1992) 459
- [15] R.J. Baxter, J.H.H. Perk and H. Au-Yang, Phys. Lett. **128A** (1988) 138
- [16] H. Au-Yang, B.M. McCoy, J.H.H. Perk, S. Tang and M. Yan, Phys. Lett. **123A** (1987) 219;  
B.M. McCoy, J.H.H. Perk, S. Tang and C.H. Sah, Phys. Lett. **125A** (1987) 9
- [17] T. Hakobyan, A. Sedrakyan, *R-matrices for highest weight representations of  $U_q\widehat{sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$  at roots of unity*, preprint ENSLAPP- A-406/92, PL in press
- [18] H. Saleur, *Quantum  $osp(1,2)$  and Solutions of the Graded Yang-Baxter Equation*, Nucl. Phys. **336** (1990) 363
- [19] C. -H Sun, H. C. Fu, M. L. Ge, *The Cyclic Representations of the Quantum Superalgebra  $U_qosp(2,1)$  with  $q$  a root of Unity*, Lett. Math. Phys. **23** (1991) 19
- [20] D. Arnaudon, *Fusion rules and R-matrix for the composition of regular spins with semiperiodic representations of  $SL(2)_q$* , Phys. Lett. **B268** (1991) 217
- [21] D. Arnaudon, *New Fusion rules and R-matrices for  $SL(N)_q$  at roots of unity*, preprint CERN-TH 6324/91 (1991).
- [22] D. Arnaudon, *Fusion rules and R-matrices for representations of  $SL(2)_q$  at roots of unity*, preprint CERN-TH 6416/92 (1992)
- [23] P. Kulish, E. Sklyanin, J.Sov.Math. **19** (1982) 1596